TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: MI

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
 - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL AS		2. DATE SUBMITTED 7/15/2005	APPLICANT IDENTIFIER			
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:		3. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	STATE APPLICATION IDEN			
Application Pre-applic Construction	li i	4. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER			
Non-Construction Non-	Construction					
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION						
Legal Name: State of Michigan		Organizational Unit: Michigan Department of Community Health				
Address (give city, county, state and zip coc 3423 North Martin Luther King Jr Blvd P.O. Box 30195 Lansing, MI 48909 County: Ingham			Name and telephone number of the person to be contacted on matters involving this application (give area code) Name: Douglas M. Paterson			
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 3 8 6 0 0 0 1	(EIN): 3 4	7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (Enter appropriate lett A. State H. Independent School Distri B. County I. State Controlled Institution C. Municiple J. Private University D. Township K. Indian Tribe E. Interstate L. Individual F. Intermuniciple M. Profit Organization G. Special District N. Other (Specify)	ct			
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:		9 NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration	on. Maternal and Child Healt			
New Continuation Revision If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in box A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increase Duration Other (specify):	(es)	ricalul Nesources and Services Administration	n, maternal and Grind Hear			
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC A NUMBER:	SSISTANCE	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PR	OJECT:			
9 3 9 9 4 TITLE: Maternal and Child Health Service 12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (cities states, etc.): State of Michigan						
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:		14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:				
Start Date: Ending Date: 10/01/2005 09/30/2006			. Project statewide			
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ 21,217,300.00 b. Applicant \$ 0.00 c. State \$ 38,993,900.00 d. Local \$ 0.00 e. Other \$ 1,000,000.00 f. Program Income \$ 56,540,400.00 g. TOTAL \$ 117,751,600.00	a. YES, THIS POURDER 1237 DATE: b. NO PRO OR F	FION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTION REAPPLICATION WAS MADE AVAILABLE OF THE PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON DISTRICT ON THE PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON THE PROCESS FOR THE PROCESS F	NILABLE TO THE STATE EX			
	ED BY THE GOVE	DATA IN THIS APPLICATION/PREAPPLICATION ERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE AI DED. D. Title				
Janet Olszewski		Director, Department of Community Health	517-335-0267			
d. Signature of Authorized Representative			e. Date Signed			

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

FORM	2								
MCH BUDGET DETAIL	_								
[Secs. 504 (d) and 5	505(a)(3)(4)]								
STATE: MI									
FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:		\$	21,217,300						
A.Preventive and primary care for children:									
\$8,716,800 (41.08%)									
B.Children with special health care needs:									
\$ 10,126,300 (47.73%) (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the applicat	ion)[Sec. 505(a)(3)]								
C.Title V admininstrative costs:	7,								
\$504,800 (2.38 %)									
(The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]									
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)		\$	0						
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)		\$	38,993,900						
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)		\$	0						
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)		\$	1,000,000						
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)		\$	56,540,400						
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 13,507,900	\$	96,534,300							
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PAR	TNERSHIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$	117 751 600						
(Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	,	Ψ	, ,						
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the Titl	e V program)								
a. SPRANS:	\$0								
b. SSDI:	\$0								
c. CISS:	\$0								
d. Abstinence Education:	\$ 1,417,132								
e. Healthy Start:	\$0								
f. EMSC:	\$ 0								
g. WIC:	\$ 131,036,900								
h. AIDS:	\$0								
i. CDC:	\$ 1,892,204								
j. Education:	\$ 0								
k. Other:	·								
HRSA	\$ 2,363,433								
Preventive Block	\$ 627,300								
Title X	\$ 7,133,200								
Title XIX	\$ 98,890,700								
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item 9)	\$	243,360,869						
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)		\$	361,112,469						

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 2
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: MI

	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2006		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$	\$19,804,006	\$	\$0	\$	\$0	
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)		\$ 964,642	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$39,070,200	\$ 41,737,438	\$37,317,300	\$0	\$38,993,900	\$0	
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$1,000,000	\$ 998,514	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$52,502,300	\$ 53,314,738	\$53,381,500	\$0	\$56,540,400	\$0	
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$114,286,500	\$ 116,819,338	\$112,972,700	\$0	\$117,751,600	\$0	
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)		
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$ 225,873,500	\$ 214,767,379	\$ 218,071,300	\$0	\$ 243,360,869	\$0	
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$340,160,000	\$331,586,717	\$ 331,044,000	\$0	\$ 361,112,469	\$0	

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: MI

	FY:	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2003		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$ 20,627,000	\$21,664,698	\$	\$21,596,187	\$	\$ 19,903,294	
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)		\$ 4,751,413	\$0	\$1,460,255	\$0	\$ 1,595,557	
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 37,130,300	\$ 35,451,392	\$37,977,000	\$ 28,782,254	\$46,190,300	\$ 28,531,145	
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$ 900,000	\$ 662,638	\$ 750,000	\$ 826,911	\$	\$ 886,318	
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$50,446,200	\$ 47,529,870	\$50,030,900	\$ 49,566,154	\$50,303,900	\$ 51,355,355	
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$ 109,103,500	\$ 110,060,011	\$ 109,338,000	\$ 102,231,761	\$ 117,997,200	\$ 102,271,669	
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)		
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$ 186,401,200	\$ 177,115,688	\$190,353,000	\$189,628,332	\$194,497,500	\$ 196,897,561	
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$\$	\$ 287,175,699	\$ 299,691,000	\$\$	\$312,494,700	\$ 299,169,230	
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)			

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended Row Name: Unobligated Balance

Column Name: Expended

Field Note:

We expect to fully allocate our budgeted amount; however, some expenditures do not materialize resulting in the unobligated balance.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Carryforward from Prior Year.

Section Number: Main Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended Row Name: State Funds

Column Name: Expended Year: 2003

Field Note:

The budgeted amount is calculated from appropriation authorization; the recognized revenue was less than the authorization resulting in the variance.

Section Number: Main Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Increase revenue was received resulting in increased expenditures.

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: MI

	FY	2004	FY:	2005	FY 2006		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$ 308,20	0 \$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$52,502,30	53,314,738	\$53,381,500	\$0	\$56,540,400	\$	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$10,743,70	0 \$ 8,578,878	\$9,145,900	\$0	\$8,754,800	\$	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$41,041,60	0 \$ 46,510,290	\$	\$0	\$43,157,400	\$	
e. Others	\$9,019,20	0 \$ 7,888,215	\$ 9,019,200	\$0	\$8,794,200	\$	
f. Administration	\$ 671,50	0 \$ 527,217	\$561,400	\$0	\$\$	\$	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$114,286,500	\$116,819,338_	\$112,972,700	\$0	\$ <u>117,751,600</u>	\$0	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the o	control of the persor	responsible for admini	istration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$800,000		\$800,000		\$0		
b. SSDI	\$100,000		\$100,000		\$0		
c. CISS	\$0		\$50,000		\$0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$1,899,600		\$1,447,500		\$1,417,132		
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$627,300		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$0		\$391,000		\$0		
g. WIC	\$136,644,900		\$136,747,500		\$ 131,036,900		
h. AIDS	\$1,176,800		\$1,176,800		\$0		
i. CDC	\$1,397,200		\$1,844,100		\$1,892,204		
j. Education	\$0		\$0		\$0		
k.Other		-		ī		i	
HRSA	\$0		\$0		\$ 2,363,433		
Preventive Block	\$0		\$0		\$ 627,300		
Title X	\$0		\$ 7,133,200		\$		
Title XIX	\$0		\$ 67,753,900		\$ 98,890,700		
HRSA, Prev. Block	\$ 964,700		\$0		\$0		
SCHIP, Title XIX , X	\$ 82,890,300		\$0		\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 225,873,500	7	\$ 218,071,300]	\$ 243,360,869		

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: MI

	FY	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2003		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$ 58,200	\$ 58,200	\$ 58,200	\$ 58,200	\$ 58,200	\$ 58,200	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$46,397,700	\$50,349,028	\$ 50,030,900	\$ 50,117,450	\$50,303,900	\$ 51,952,718	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$14,160,000	\$17,076,218	\$14,460,000	\$ 9,071,232	\$16,968,700	\$10,041,773	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$38,504,300	\$ 31,696,246	\$ 34,852,500	\$ 33,043,768	\$ 40,077,500	\$ 30,383,417	
e. Others	\$ 9,936,400	\$10,274,816	\$ 9,936,400	\$ 9,387,035	\$ 9,936,400	\$9,299,192	
f. Administration	\$46,900	\$605,503	\$0	\$ 554,076	\$652,500	\$536,369	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 109,103,500	\$110,060,011	\$ 109,338,000	\$ <u>102,231,761</u>	\$ 117,997,200	\$ <u>102,271,669</u>	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	ontrol of the person r	esponsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$0		\$0		\$ 800,000		
b. SSDI	\$100,000		\$ 137,000		\$ 117,500		
c. CISS	\$ 50,000		\$ 145,500		\$ 150,000		
d. Abstinence Education	\$1,899,600		\$1,899,600		\$1,899,600		
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$114,606,100		\$ <u>116,857,700</u>		\$ <u>121,386,400</u>		
h. AIDS	\$ 985,200		\$1,026,300		\$1,016,800		
i. CDC	\$920,000		\$ 950,300		\$1,347,400		
j. Education	\$0		\$0		\$0		
k.Other							
HRSA	\$0		\$0		\$ 422,800		
Preventive Block	\$0		\$0		\$ 627,300		
Title X	\$5,265,200		\$5,737,100		\$6,916,200		
Title XIX	\$62,575,100		\$ 63,599,500		\$ 59,813,500		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$186,401,200		\$ 190,353,000		\$ 194,497,500		

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Fund transfer. No MCH Block available for Prenatal Care

2. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The State of Michigan cut the Adolescent Health Program.

3. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budget amount was the best estimate at that time

4. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Budgeted amount is the amount appropriated. Revenue available was less resulting the less expenditures.

5. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budget was best estimate at the time

6. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budget was best estimate at the time

7. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Cost Allocation was lower than budgeted.

8. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004

Field Note:

Budget was best estimate at the time

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: MI

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2004	FY :	2005	FY 2006		
TIPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	PENDED BUDGETED		BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 58,882,700	\$62,014,899	\$ 56,829,800	\$0	\$ 58,792,500	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$50,666,300	\$50,270,664	\$50,666,300	\$0	\$53,546,200	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$4,066,000	\$4,006,558	\$4,915,200	\$0	\$	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$ 671,500	\$	\$\$	\$0	\$504,800	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$114,286,500	\$116,819,338	\$112,972,700	\$0	\$117,751,600	\$0	

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: MI

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2001	FY :	2002	FY 2003		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$57,803,600	\$54,349,493	\$54,151,800	\$50,319,241	\$ 65,377,800	\$ 49,076,639	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$47,763,500	\$52,003,969	\$51,345,200	\$ 48,479,148	\$47,875,900	\$49,304,497	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$3,536,400	\$3,101,046	\$	\$ 2,879,296	\$	\$3,354,164	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$0	\$605,503	\$0	\$ 554,076	\$652,500	\$536,369	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$109,103,500	\$110,060,011	\$109,338,000	\$102,231,761	\$117,997,200	\$102,271,669	

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

State of Michigan Budget Cuts

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services
Column Name: Expended
Year: 2003
Field Note:
State of Michigan Budget Cuts

Section Number: Main Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services Column Name: Expended Year: 2003

Field Note:

Cost allocation less than budgeted.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Random Moments less than anticipated

FORM 6										
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED										
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)										
STATE: MI										
Total Births by Occurrence: 128,456 Reporting Year: 2004										
Type of Screening Tests	(A Receiving at lea (1	st one Screen	(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed	Needing Tre Received Tr	atment that				
_	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%				
Phenylketonuria	127,572	99.3	54	4	4	100				
Congenital Hypothyroidism	127,572	99.3	1,349	66	66	100				
Galactosemia	127,572	99.3	105	2	2	100				
Sickle Cell Disease	127,572	99.3	74	74	74	100				
Other Screening	(Specify)									
Biotinidase Deficiency	127,572	99.3	432	23	23	100				
Homocystinuria	127,572	99.3	14	0	0					
Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH)	127,572	99.3	319	8	8	100				
Maple Syrup Urine Disease (MSUD)	127,572	99.3	112	2	2	100				
Medium Chain AcylCo-A Dehydrogenase (MCAD)	127,572	99.3	15	6	6	100				
Citullinemia/ASA	127,572	99.3	2	0	0					
Screening Progra	ms for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)	·					
Screening Programs for Older Children & Women (Specify Tests by name) (1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.										

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main
 Field Name: SickleCellDisease_Confirmed
 Row Name: SickleCellDisease
 Column Name: Confirmed Cases
 Year: 2006
 Field Note:
 Screening test for Sickle Cell is diagnostic

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: MI

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V		PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE						
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %			
Pregnant Women	15,508	97.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0			
Infants < 1 year old	127,572	34.7	0.0	65.3	0.0	0.0			
Children 1 to 22 years old	2,441,228	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0			
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	34,452	56.6	2.7	40.4	0.3				
Others	129,349	65.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.0			
TOTAL	2,748,109								

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen_TS Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006

The number of women served was reduced significantly from 2003 to 2004 due to the elimination by Executive Order of the Maternal and Infant Health Advocacy Services program and Medicaid Outreach Services provided by local health departments.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_1_22_TS
Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The number of childen served was reduced from 2003 to 2004 due to the elimination by Executive Order of Medicaid Outreach Services provided by local health departments.

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: MI

Reporting Year: 2003

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown		
DELIVERIES	DELIVERIES									
Total Deliveries in State	129,754	101,083	22,358	625	4,289	351	0	1,048		
Title V Served	129,754	101,083	22,358	625	4,289	351	0	1,048		
Eligible for Title XIX	43,621	30,232	11,732	317	959	66	0	315		
INFANTS										
Total Infants in State	130,850	102,146	22,380	634	4,282	353	0	1,055		
Title V Served	130,850	102,146	22,380	634	4,282	353	0	1,055		
Eligible for Title XIX	43,529	30,158	11,716	316	958	66	0	315		

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISP/	HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)				
	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	(B.3) Puerto Rican	(B.4) Central and South American	(B.5) Other and Unknown	
DELIVERIES									
Total Deliveries in State	119,617	7,617	2,520	6,176	90	377	501	473	
Title V Served	119,617	7,617	2,520	6,176	90	377	501	473	
Eligible for Title XIX	38,250	4,646	725	3,915	52	175	236	268	
INFANTS									
Total Infants in State	119,238	7,643	3,969	6,201	91	380	499	472	
Title V Served	119,238	7,643	3,969	6,201	91	380	499	472	
Eligible for Title XIX	38,173	4,632	724	3,903	52	175	235	267	

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 8
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: MI

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number Continue	800-262-4784	800-262-4784	800-262-4784	(800) 262-4784	(800) 262-4784
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	800-26-BIRTH	800-26-BIRTH	800-26-BIRTH	(800) 26-BIRTH	(800) 26-BIRTH
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Douglas Paterson				
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number	517-335-8928	517-335-8928	517-335-8928	(517) 335-8928	(517) 335-8928
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		7,730	8,155	7,232

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(8)] STATE: MI

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	800-359-3722	800-788-7889	800-788-7889		
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Family Phone Line	Family Phone Line	Family Phone Line		
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Mary Marin	Mary Marin	Mary Marin		
Contact Person's Telephone Number	517-241-7197	517-241-7197	517-241-7197		
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	31,934	41,780	46,051

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [SEC. 506(A)(1)]

STATE: MI

1. State MCH Administration:

Michigan's MCH program is administered by the Department of Community Health through the Bureau of Family, Maternal and Child Health under the authority of the Public Health Code. The Bureau includes the Divisions of Family and Community Health, WIC, and Children's Special Health Care Services. The Division of Family and Community Health has responsibility for family planning, prenatal care, MCH HIV/AIDS, adolescent health, childhood lead poisoning prevention, oral health, newborn hearing screening, infant mortality initiatives and child health. The WIC Division administers the USDA Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants and Children, as well as Project FRESH and breastfeeding initiatives. The Children's Special Health Care Division has responsibility for medical care and treatment for children with special needs, case management, ancillary services and the Parent Participation Program.

Block Grant Funds	
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 21,217,300
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$0
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 38,993,900
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$0
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 1,000,000
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 56,540,400
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 117,751,600
9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:	Specialty Care Providers Local Health Departments Community Non-Profit Agencies
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)	
 Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7) Pregnant Women 	15,508
	15,508 127,572
a. Pregnant Women	
a. Pregnant Women b. Infants < 1 year old	127,572

11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:

a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

(max 2500 characters)

CSHCS Medical Care and Treatment - Medical Care and Treatment includes a wide range of services such as physician care, hospitalization, pharmaceuticals, special therapies and durable medical equipment, home health nursing, and orthotics/prosthetics. Services are provided through an approved list of providers including physicians, clinics, hospitals, and home health agencies. Early Childhood Comprehensive Systems Project - This project is a component of the Governor's Great Start Initiative involving state agencies, the Ready To Succeed Partnership, Healthy Child Care Michigan Project, other public and private organizations and citizens. Partners will use research-based, technology supported methods to collaboratively assess needs, select priorities and formulate outcomes and indicators of success for early childhood.

b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Newborn Screening - All newborns are screened for up to 40 disorders, including hypothyroidism, sickle cell anemia, PKU, galactosemia, MSUD, MCAD, CAH and biotinidase deficiency. Components of the program include regional coordination and training in all meternity hospitals, centralized laboratory testing and follow-up, and contracted medical management. Hearing and Vision Screening - This program screens preschool and school-age children for hearing and vision problems. Local agencies are trained to conduct the tsting and provide referral, follow-up including to CSHCS otology clinics, and community education.

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

The Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System is a study of risk factors among women related to birth outcomes. Data is gathered through interviews with new mothers by registered nurses trained in interview techniques. The information collected includes use of birth control and prenatal care, plans for breastfeeding, use of tobacco and alcohol during pregnancy, exposure to smoke, and access to and use of information on infant care such as the improtance of sleep position. Most of the data obtained through the PRAMS study are not available from any other source.

12. The primary Title V Program contact person:

13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:

Name	Douglas M. Paterson	Name	Kathleen Stiffler
Title	Director, Bureau of Family, Maternal and Child Health	Title	Director, Children's Special Health Care Services Divisi
Address	201 Townsend Street	Address	400 South Pine Street
City	Lansing	City	Lansing
State	MI	State	MI

Zip	48913	Zip	48933
Phone	517/335-8928	Phone	517-335-5008
Fax	517/335-9032	Fax	517/241-8970
Email	patersond@michigan.gov	Email	stifflerk@michigan.gov
Web		Web	

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 11 TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [Secs 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: MI

PERFORMANCE	MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

c appropriate follow up as defined by	tron State.				
		Annual Ol	bjective and Perform	nance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			100	100	100
Annual Indicator			100.0	100.0	100.0
Numerator			194	196	183
Denominator			194	196	183
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual Ol	bjective and Perform	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futur	e Objectives for the ab re year data.	oove years. Numerat	or, Denominator and <i>i</i>	Annual Indicators are

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			61.3	61.3	64
Annual Indicator			61.3	61.3	61.3
Numerator					
Denominator				-	-
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	61.3	61.3	61.3	61.3	61.3

Annual Indicator
Numerator

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03									
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate	ed, ongoing,	compreh	ensive care	within a m	nedical hom	ne. (CSHC	N Survey)	
		į	Annual C	Objective a	nd Perfor	mance Dat	t <u>a</u>		
	2000	2001		2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective					55.8		55.8		58
Annual Indicator					55.8		55.8		55.8
Numerator									
Denominator									
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Provision	nal	Provision	nal
		1	Annual C	Objective a	nd Perfori	mance Dat	<u>ta</u>		
	2005	2006		2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	55.8		55.8		55.8		55.8		55.8
Amount Indicator									
Annual Indicator									
	Please fill in only the	he Objectives	s for the a	bove years	. Numerat	or, Denomi	inator and	Annual Ind	licators a
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut			bove years	. Numerat	or, Denomi	inator and	Annual Ind	licators a
	Please fill in only the not required for fut			bove years	. Numerat	or, Denomi	inator and	Annual Ind	licators a
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut			bove years	. Numerat	or, Denomi	inator and	Annual Ind	licators a
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut			above years	. Numerat	or, Denomi	inator and	Annual Ind	licators a
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ture year data	a. 						
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ture year data	a. ate and/o	r public insu	urance to p	pay for the	services th		
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	Please fill in only the not required for fut ose families have a	ture year data	a. ate and/o	r public insu	urance to p	pay for the	services th	ney need. (
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)	Please fill in only the not required for fut ose families have a 2000	dequate priva	a. ate and/o	r public insu	urance to p	pay for the	services th		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut ose families have a 2000	dequate priva	a. ate and/o	r public insu	urance to pend Perform	pay for the	services thata	ney need. (CSHCN 50
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut ose families have a 2000	adequate priva	ate and/o	r public insu	urance to p	pay for the	services th	ney need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut ose families have a	dequate priva	ate and/o	r public insu	urance to pend Perform	pay for the	services thata	ney need. (CSHCN 50
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut ose families have a 2000	dequate priva	ate and/o	r public insu	urance to pend Perform	mance Dat	services thata	2004	CSHCN 50 66.5
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut ose families have a 2000	dequate priva	ate and/o	r public insu	urance to pend Perform	pay for the	services thata	ney need. (CSHCN 50 66.5
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut ose families have a 2000	dequate priva	ate and/o	r public insu	urance to p nd Perform 66.5 66.5	mance Dat 2003 Final	services thata 66.5 66.5	2004	CSHCN 50 66.5
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut ose families have a 2000	dequate priva	ate and/o	r public insu	urance to p nd Perform 66.5 66.5	mance Dat 2003 Final	services thata 66.5 66.5	2004	CSHCN 50 66.5
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut ose families have a 2000	adequate priva	ate and/o	r public insu	urance to p nd Perform 66.5 66.5	pay for the semance Date 2003 Final	services thata 66.5 66.5	2004 Provision	CSHCN 50 66.5
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut ose families have a 2000 2005 66.5	2006	ate and/o Annual C Annual C	public insu	nd Perform 66.5 66.5	pay for the semance Date 2003 Final mance Date 2008	services thata 66.5 66.5	2004 Provision	50 66.5
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut ose families have a 2000 2005 66.5	2006 ture year data	Annual C	public insu	nd Perform 66.5 66.5	pay for the semance Date 2003 Final mance Date 2008	services thata 66.5 66.5	2004 Provision	566.s

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05					
Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose survey)	families report the o	community-based se	ervice systems are org	anized so they can us	e them easily. (CSH)
		<u>Annua</u>	l Objective and Perfo	ormance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			75.7	75.7	79
Annual Indicator			75.7	75.7	75.7
Numerator		-			
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annua	I Objective and Perfo	ormance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	75.7	75.7	75.7	75.7	75.7
Annual Indicator					
Numerator	Please fill in only the	ne Objectives for the	e above years. Numer	ator, Denominator and	I Annual Indicators a
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut	ure year data.			
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut	ure year data.	ion to all aspects of a	dult life. (CSHCN Surv	
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transit Annua	ion to all aspects of ac	dult life. (CSHCN Surv	ey)
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	not required for fut the services neces:	ure year data. sary to make transit Annual	ion to all aspects of a	dult life. (CSHCN Surv ormance Data 2003	
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	not required for fut the services neces:	ure year data. sary to make transit Annual	ion to all aspects of ac I Objective and Perfo 2002	dult life. (CSHCN Surv ormance Data 2003 5.8	ey)
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for fut the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transiti Annua 2001	ion to all aspects of act of the second seco	dult life. (CSHCN Surv ormance Data 2003 5.8	ey) 2004 6
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for fut the services neces:	sary to make transit Annual 2001	ion to all aspects of act of a	dult life. (CSHCN Surv ormance Data 2003 5.8	ey) 2004 6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut the services neces:	ure year data. sary to make transiti Annua 2001	ion to all aspects of act of a	dult life. (CSHCN Surv ormance Data 2003 5.8 5.8	ey) 2004 6 5.8
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for fut the services neces:	sary to make transit Annual 2001	ion to all aspects of act of a	dult life. (CSHCN Surv ormance Data 2003 5.8	ey) 2004 6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut the services neces:	ure year data. sary to make transiti Annual 2001	ion to all aspects of act of a	ormance Data 2003 5.8 5.8 Provisional	ey) 2004 6 5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut the services neces:	ure year data. sary to make transiti Annual 2001	ion to all aspects of an lobjective and Performance 2002 5.8	ormance Data 2003 5.8 5.8 Provisional	ey) 2004 6 5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut the services necess 2000 2005	sary to make transit Annual 2001	ion to all aspects of act Dobjective and Performance 5.8 5.8 5.8 I Objective and Performance 2007	provisional provisional provisional provisional provisional provisional	ey) 2004 6 5.8 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	the services neces: 2000 2005 5.8	sary to make transit Annual 2001 Annual 2006 5.8	ion to all aspects of an I Objective and Performance 5.8 5.8 5.8 1 Objective and Performance 2007	provisional provisional provisional provisional provisional provisional	ey) 2004 6 5.8 Provisional 2009 5.8

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07 Percent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of ag	e appropria	iate immun	uzanions a	inainst ivieas					ertussis
aemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	0 2PP			. gc	5100,up =,	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	011002.2,
	2200		2004	Annual C	Objective and Perf		ata	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	2000	90	2001	90	2002 92	2003	85	2004	8
Annual Indicator				70.0	81.6		81.5		81.
Numerator		147,032		185,408	166,523		158,336		152,92
Denominator		199,500		264,680	204,072		194,277		188,32
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		100,000		201,000	201,012	Final	101,277	Final	100,02
				Annual C	bjective and Perf	ormance D	ata		
	2005		2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective		89		91	91	· —	91		g
Annual Indicator									
	DI (1)	ill in only th	o Objecti	con for the a	hovo voore Numo	atar Dana	minator and	Annual In	dicatore
	DI (1)	ill in only th	e Objecti	ves for the a	bove years. Nume	ator, Deno	minator and	Annual In	ndicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fil not requir	ill in only th ired for futu	e Objecti [,] ıre year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years. Nume	ator, Deno	minator and	Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator	Please fil not requir	ill in only th ired for futu	e Objecti [,] ıre year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years. Nume	ator, Deno	minator and	Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fil not requir	ill in only th ired for futu	e Objecti [,] ıre year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years. Nume	ator, Deno	minator and	Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fil not requir	ill in only th ired for futu	e Objecti ure year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years. Nume	ator, Deno	minator and	Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fil not requir	ill in only th ired for futu	e Objecti ire year d	ata.				Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fil not requir	ill in only th ired for futu	e Objecti ire year d	ata.	bove years. Nume Dijective and Perf			Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fil not requir	ired for futu	ıre yéar d	ata.	Objective and Perf	ormance D 2003			
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	Please fil not requir	23.1	ıre yéar d	ata. Annual C	Objective and Perf 2002	ormance D 2003	ata .		
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective	Please fil not requir	23.1 22.0	ıre yéar d	Annual C	Objective and Perf 2002 21.7	prmance D 2003	ata		17
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fil not requir	23.1 22.0	ıre yéar d	Annual C 22.4 20.4	Dbjective and Perf 2002 21.7	ormance D 2003	18 18.1		1 17 3,81
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fil not requir	23.1 22.0 4,607	ıre yéar d	Annual C 22.4 20.4 4,263	2002 21.7 18.4 3,847	ormance D 2003	18 18.1 3,894		1 17, 3,81 214,59
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fil not requir	23.1 22.0 4,607	ıre yéar d	Annual C 22.4 20.4 4,263	2002 21.7 18.4 3,847	prmance D 2003	18 18.1 3,894	2004	1 17 3,81 214,59
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fil not requir	23.1 22.0 4,607	2001	Annual C 22.4 20.4 4,263 209,108	2002 21.7 18.4 3,847 209,108	pormance D 2003 Final	18 18.1 3,894 214,590	2004 Provisio	17 3,81 214,59
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000	23.1 22.0 4,607 209,108	ıre yéar d	Annual C 22.4 20.4 4,263 209,108	2002 21.7 18.4 3,847 209,108 20bjective and Perf	Prinal primance D 2008	18 18.1 3,894 214,590	2004	17. 3,81 214,59 onal
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	2000	23.1 22.0 4,607	2001	Annual C 22.4 20.4 4,263 209,108	2002 21.7 18.4 3,847 209,108	Prinal primance D 2008	18 18.1 3,894 214,590	2004 Provisio	17 3,81 214,59
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000	23.1 22.0 4,607 209,108	2001 2006	Annual C 22.4 20.4 4,263 209,108 Annual C	2002 21.7 18.4 3,847 209,108 20bjective and Perf	Final 2008	18 18.1 3,894 214,590	2004 Provisio	17 3,8' 214,59 onal
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	2000 Please fil not requir	23.1 22.0 4,607 209,108	2001 2006	Annual C 22.4 20.4 4,263 209,108 Annual C	2002 21.7 18.4 3,847 209,108 20bjective and Perf 2007	Final 2008	18 18.1 3,894 214,590	2004 Provisio	17 3,8 214,5 onal

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09 Percent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one ner	manent molar	r tooth					
ercent of timo grade criminers who have received processive scalaric	on at least one per			Objective and Perfor	mance D	ata		
	2000	2001		2002	2003	<u>utu</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	33		35	37		39		41
Annual Indicator	32.5		33.2	33.0		33.4		33.4
Numerator	43,992		43,790	42,516		41,889		41,889
Denominator	135,361	1;	32,017	128,835		125,417		125,41
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	onal
		,	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance D	ata		
	2005	2006	11111441	2007	2008	<u>utu</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	33.6		33.6	33.8		33.8		3
Denominato	not required for fut	ure year data	i.	bove years. Numera		Tilliator and	, till dal li	luicators
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 10		ure yéar data		, 		Timator and	, undarin	idicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	motor vehicle crash	es per 100,00	00 childre	en. Objective and Perfor	mance D			uicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	motor vehicle crash	es per 100,00	00 childre	en. Dbjective and Perfor 2002		ata	2004	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective	motor vehicle crash 2000 4.7	es per 100,00 2001	00 childre Annual C	en. Objective and Perfor 2002 4.4	mance D	ata 3.5		4.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 4.7	es per 100,00	00 childre Annual C 4.6 3.5	en. Objective and Perfor 2002 4.4 3.7	mance D	3.5 4.6		4.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 4.7 4.7 102	es per 100,00 2001	00 childred Annual C 4.6 3.5	2002 4.4 3.7	mance D 2003	3.5 4.6 96	2004	4.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 4.7 4.7 102 2,164,198	es per 100,00 2001	00 childred Annual C 4.6 3.5	en. 2002 4.4 3.7 79	mance D 2003	3.5 4.6	2004	4. 3. 6 2,098,59
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 4.7 4.7 102 2,164,198	es per 100,00 2001	00 childred Annual C 4.6 3.5	en. 2002 4.4 3.7 79	mance D 2003	3.5 4.6 96	2004	4. 3. 6 2,098,59
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 4.7 4.7 102 2,164,198	es per 100,00 2001 2,10	00 childre Annual C 4.6 3.5 75 64,198	en. 2002 4.4 3.7 79	mance D 2003	3.5 4.6 96 2,098,595	2004	4. 3. 6 2,098,59
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 4.7 4.7 102 2,164,198	2006	00 childre Annual C 4.6 3.5 75 64,198	en. 2002 4.4 3.7 79 2,164,198	mance D 2003	3.5 4.6 96 2,098,595	2004	4. 3. 6 2,098,59 onal
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 the rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 4.7 4.7 102 2,164,198	2006	00 childre Annual C 4.6 3.5 75 64,198	2002 4.4 3.7 79 2,164,198	mance D 2003 Final	3.5 4.6 96 2,098,595	2004 Provisio	4 3 6 2,098,59
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ne rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 4.7 4.7 102 2,164,198	2001 2006	00 childre Annual C 4.6 3.5 75 64,198 Annual C	2002 4.4 3.7 79 2,164,198	Final mance D 2008	3.5 4.6 96 2,098,595 ata	2004 Provisio	4 3 6 2,098,59 onal

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital discha	rge.	_			
	2000	<u>Annua</u> 2001	I Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		62		69.9	70.
•	63.1	68.8		75.4	78.
Numerator		91,674		98,660	100.69
		133,247	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	130,850	128,27
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?		100,277	123,510	Provisional	Provisional
is the Data i Tovisional of Linar.				FIOVISIONAL	Fiovidional
		Annua	l Objective and Perfor	rman <u>ce Data</u>	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	71	71.6	72.2	72.8	7
Annual Indicator					
	Diana Cili in and Ale	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. Annua	l Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. Annua 2001	l Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000	ure year data. Annua 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 65 73.1	Annua 2001 90 80.7	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 92.6	7mance Data 2003 100 90.6	2004 10 90.
Numerator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 65 73.1 97,853	Annua 2001 90 80.7 106,633	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 92.6	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 65 73.1	Annua 2001 90 80.7 106,633	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 92.6 119,094	7mance Data 2003 100 90.6	2004
Numerator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 65 73.1 97,853 133,815	Annua 2001 90 80.7 106,633	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 92.6 119,094	rmance Data 2003 100 90.6 116,135	2004 10 90. 123,03
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 65 73.1 97,853 133,815	Annua 2001 90 80.7 106,633 132,152	95 92.6 119,094 128,624	7mance Data 2003 100 90.6 116,135 128,126 Final	2004 10 90 123,03 136,43
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future to the not req	Annua 2001 90 80.7 106,633 132,152	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 92.6 119,094 128,624	7mance Data 2003 100 90.6 116,135 128,126 Final	2004 10 90. 123,03 136,43 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future to require for future to the not required for	Annua 2001 90 80.7 106,633 132,152 Annua 2006	95 92.6 119,094 128,624 1 Objective and Perfor	7mance Data 2003 100 90.6 116,135 128,126 Final 7mance Data 2008	2004 10 90. 123,03 136,43 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future to require for future to the not required for	Annua 2001 90 80.7 106,633 132,152	95 92.6 119,094 128,624 1 Objective and Perfor	7mance Data 2003 100 90.6 116,135 128,126 Final	2004 10 90. 123,03 136,43 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future to require for future to the not required for	Annua 2001 90 80.7 106,633 132,152 Annua 2006 100	95 92.6 119,094 128,624 1 Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2003 100 90.6 116,135 128,126 Final rmance Data 2008 100	2004 90 123,03 136,43 Provisional

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13							
ercent of children without health insurance.							
				Annual C	Objective and Perfor		
	2000		2001		2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objec				4.6	3.6	6.8	6
Annual Indica	itor	6.7		8.1	6.9	5.8	5
Numera	tor1	173,916		196,000	175,117	147,257	147,25
Denomina	2,5	595,767		2,427,000	2,541,611	2,538,920	2,538,92
Is the Data Provisional or Fir	al?					Final	Provisional
				Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005		2006	Amidaix	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objec	tive	5.6		5.6	5.4	5.4	5
Numera Denomin	not require	I in only the ed for futu	e Objecti ire year c	ives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill not require	ed for futu	ire year o	data.	bove years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill not require ator	ed for futu	re year o	Program.	Dbjective and Perfor	mance Data	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 cent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have receive	Please fill not require ator d a service paid	ed for futu	ire year o	Program. Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 cent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have receive Annual Performance Object	Please fill not require ator d a service paid 2000	ed for futu	Medicaid	Program. Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002 88.7	mance Data 2003 89.1	2004 84
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 cent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have receive Annual Performance Object Annual Indica	d a service paid 2000 tive	id by the M	Medicaid	Program. Annual C 88.3 80.7	2002 88.7 83.2	mance Data 2003 89.1 82.5	2004 84 80
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 cent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have receive Annual Performance Object Annual Indication	Please fill not require attor 2000 tive	80 87.9 87.9	Medicaid	Program. Annual C 88.3 80.7 707,856	2002 88.7 83.2 707,036	mance Data 2003 89.1	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 cent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have receive Annual Performance Object Annual Indicate Numerous Denomina	Please fill not require 2000 ator 2000 ator 7 ator 8	80 87.9 87.9	Medicaid	Program. Annual C 88.3 80.7	2002 88.7 83.2	89.1 82.5 739,523 896,104	2004 84 80 792,54 988,14
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 cent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have receive Annual Performance Object Annual Indicate Numera	Please fill not require 2000 ator 2000 ator 7 ator 8	80 87.9 87.9	Medicaid	Program. Annual C 88.3 80.7 707,856	2002 88.7 83.2 707,036	mance Data 2003 89.1 82.5 739,523	2004 84 80 792,54
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have receive Annual Performance Object Annual Indication	Please fill not require 2000 ator 2000 ator 7 ator 8	80 87.9 87.9	Medicaid	88.3 80.7 707,856 877,338	2002 88.7 83.2 707,036	89.1 82.5 739,523 896,104 Final	2004 84 80 792,54 988,14
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have receive Annual Performance Object Annual Indication	Please fill not require 2000 ator 2000 ator 7 ator 8	80 87.9 87.9	Medicaid	88.3 80.7 707,856 877,338	88.7 83.2 707,036 849,639 Objective and Perfor	89.1 82.5 739,523 896,104 Final	2004 84 80 792,54 988,14
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Procent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have receive Annual Performance Object Annual Indication	Please fill not require 2000 stive 2000 ator 2005	80 87.9 709,508 807,491	Medicaid	88.3 80.7 707,856 877,338	2002 88.7 83.2 707,036 849,639 Objective and Perfor	89.1 82.5 739,523 896,104 Final	2004 84 80 792,54 988,14 Final
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have receive Annual Performance Object Annual Indica Denomina Is the Data Provisional or Fire Annual Performance Object Annual Indica	Please fill not require 2000 tive 2005 tive 2005 tive 2005	80 87.9 709,508 807,491	Medicaid 2001 2006	88.3 80.7 707,856 877,338	88.7 83.2 707,036 849,639 Objective and Perfor	89.1 82.5 739,523 896,104 Final mance Data 2008 91.5	2004 84 80 792,54 988,14 Final

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15									
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live b	births.								
				-	tive and Perfor		<u>ıta</u>		
	2000		2001	20		2003		2004	
Annual Performance		_		1.4	1.4		1.4		1.4
	I Indicator			1.7	1.6		1.7		1.
n	Numerator	2,133	2	222	2,103		2,235		2,11
Der	nominator	136,048	133	247	129,518		130,850		128,27
Is the Data Provisional	l or Final?					Final		Provisio	onal
			_			_			
	2005		2006	nual Objec 20	tive and Perfor	mance Da 2008	<u>ıta</u>	2009	
Annual Performance		1.4			1.4	2000	1.4	2003	1
	-								·
	I Indicator Please	fill in only th	e Objectives fo	r the ahove	voore Numeret	or Donom	inator and	Appual In	diantara
		IIII III OIIIY UI		i lile above	e vears. Numerai	or, Denon	illiaiti allu	Allilual III	ulcators
r Dei	not requ	uired for futu	ire year data.	THE ADOVE	gyears. Numerat	.or, Denon	illiator ariu	Alliuai III	uicators
Dei	nominator not requ	uired for futu	ire year data.	THE ADOVE	e years. Numerat	or, Denon	illiator and	Alliuai III	dicators
Del	not requ	uired for futu	ire year data.	THE ADOVE	e years. Numerat	or, Denon	illiator and	Annual III	dicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	nominator not requ	uired for futu	ire year data.	THE ADOVE	g years. Numerat	or, Denoir	illiator and	Annuarin	dicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	nominator not requ	uired for futu	ıre year data.		ctive and Perfor			Annuarin	dicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	nominator not requ	uired for futu	ıre year data.		ctive and Perfor			2004	dicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not requination not requied to the contract of	uired for futu	ıre year data. <u>An</u> ı	nual Objec	ctive and Perfor	mance Da			
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths age	not requination not requied to the contract of	uired for futu	ıre year data. <u>An</u> ı	nual Objec 20	etive and Perfor	mance Da	ı <u>ta</u>		8.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths age Annual Performance Annual	not required to the second sec	9 6.9	ıre year data. <u>An</u> ı	nual Objec 20	etive and Perfor	mance Da	<u>8.7</u>		8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths age Annual Performance Annual	ed 15 through 19. 2000 Cobjective	9 6.9 50	Anı 2001	9 8.8	etive and Perfor 02 8.7 8.0	mance Da	8.7 6.7		8 8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths age Annual Performance Annual	ed 15 through 19. 2000 Objective I Indicator Numerator nominator	9 6.9 50	Anı 2001	9 8.8 63	8.7 8.0 58	mance Da	8.7 6.7 49		8. 8. 5 728,38
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths age Annual Performance Annual Definition	ed 15 through 19. 2000 Objective I Indicator Numerator nominator	9 6.9 50	Anı 2001	9 8.8 63	8.7 8.0 58	mance Da 2003	8.7 6.7 49	2004	8 8 5 728,38
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths age Annual Performance Annual Definition	not required to require the requirement of the req	9 6.9 50	An: 2001 719	9 8.8 63 867	8.7 8.0 58	mance Da 2003 Final	8.7 6.7 49 728,381	2004 Provisio	8 8 5 728,38
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths age Annual Performance Annual N Dei Is the Data Provisional	ed 15 through 19. 2000 Objective I Indicator Numerator nominator I or Final?	9 6.9 50 719,867	An: 2001 719	9 8.8 63 867	8.7 8.0 58 723,088	mance Da 2003 ——————————————————————————————————	8.7 6.7 49 728,381	2004	8 8 5 728,38 onal
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths age Annual Performance Annual N Determine the Data Provisional Annual Performance	ed 15 through 19. 2000 Objective Il Indicator Numerator nominator Il or Final?	9 6.9 50 719,867	An: 719.	9 8.8 63 867	8.7 8.0 58 723,088	mance Da 2003 Final	8.7 6.7 49 728,381	2004 Provisio	8 8 5 728,38
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths age Annual Performance Annual Death Death Is the Data Provisional Annual Performance Annual	not required to require the requirement of the requ	9 6.9 50 719,867	2001 719 2006	9 8.8 63 867 nual Objec 200 8.1	8.7 8.0 58 723,088	mance Da 2003 Final mance Da 2008	8.7 6.7 49 728,381	2004 Provisio	8 8 5 728,38 onal

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 17 Percent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-r	isk deliveries and ne	eonates.						
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	85		85	85.2		88		88
Annual Indicator	88.0		86.5	87.0		84.8		87.4
Numerator	1,856		1,921	1,829		1,896		1,846
Denominator	2,109		2,222	2,103		2,235		2,11
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisio	nal
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	88.2		88.2	88.4		88.4		88.
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year da	ata.					
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 18			ata.					
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18				Objective and Perfor	mance Da	ta		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	ginning in the first tr		Annual C	2002	mance <u>Da</u> 2003		2004	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective	ginning in the first tr	imester. 2001	Annual C 85.7	2002 85.9		85.9	2004	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	ginning in the first tr 2000 85 80.4	imester. 2001	85.7 82.9	2002 85.9 83.9	2003	85.9 84.1	2004	82.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ginning in the first tr 2000 85 80.4 109,346	imester. 2001	85.7 82.9 110,501	2002 85.9	2003	85.9	2004	82.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 85 80.4 109,346 136,048	imester. 2001	85.7 82.9 110,501	2002 85.9 83.9	2003	85.9 84.1		82. 106,11 128,27
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 85 80.4 109,346 136,048	imester. 2001	85.7 82.9 110,501	85.9 83.9 108,653	2003	85.9 84.1 110,019	2004 Provision	85. 82. 106,11 128,27 nal
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 85 80.4 109,346 136,048	2001	85.7 82.9 110,501 133,247	85.9 83.9 108,653 129,518	2003 Final	85.9 84.1 110,019 130,850	Provision	82. 106,11 128,27
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 85 80.4 109,346 136,048	imester. 2001	85.7 82.9 110,501 133,247	85.9 83.9 108,653 129,518 Dbjective and Perfor 2007	2003 Final	85.9 84.1 110,019 130,850		82. 106,11 128,27 nal
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	ginning in the first tr 2000 85 80.4 109,346 136,048 2005	2001	85.7 82.9 110,501 133,247	85.9 83.9 108,653 129,518	2003 Final	85.9 84.1 110,019 130,850	Provision	82. 106,11 128,27
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	ginning in the first tr 2000 85 80.4 109,346 136,048 2005 86.6	2001 2006 and Objective	85.7 82.9 110,501 133,247 Annual C	85.9 83.9 108,653 129,518 Dbjective and Perfor 2007	Final mance Da 2008	85.9 84.1 110,019 130,850 ta 90.3	Provision	82 106,11 128,27 nal

nfant mortality rate of live births								
		A	Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Da	ta		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			7.5	7.4		8.1		8
Annual Indicator	8.2		8.0	8.1		8.5		7.0
Numerator	1,112		1,066	1,054		1,112		96
Denominator	136,048	1;	33,247	129,518		130,850	12	28,27
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisional	
			Annual O	bjective and Perfor		<u>ta</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	7.9		7.8	7.7		7.6		7.
Annual Indicator								
Numerator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives	for the ab	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indica	ators
Numerator Peneminator	not required for futu	ne Objectives ure year data	for the ab	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indica	ators
Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objectives ure year data	for the ab	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indica	ators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	not required for futu	ure year data		bjective and Perfor	mance Da			ators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 sternal mortality ratio in Black women	not required for future for future future for future future for future for future for future future for future for future future for future future for future future future for future future future for future futu	ure yéar data	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2002		<u>ta</u>	Annual Indica	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 sternal mortality ratio in Black women Annual Performance Objective	not required for future and the second secon	ure year data	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2002 17	mance Da	ta		26
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Iternal mortality ratio in Black women Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000	ure year data	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		26 80
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Internal mortality ratio in Black women Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 16.6	ure yéar data	6.4 17.0	bjective and Perfor 2002 17 27.0 6	mance Da	16 35.7 8	2004	26 80
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Iternal mortality ratio in Black women Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 16.6 4 24,069	ure yéar data	6.4 17.0	bjective and Perfor 2002 17 27.0	mance Da	ta 16 35.7	2004	26. 80. 1
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Internal mortality ratio in Black women Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 16.6 4 24,069	ure yéar data	6.4 17.0	bjective and Perfor 2002 17 27.0 6	mance Da	16 35.7 8	2004	26. 80. 1
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Internal mortality ratio in Black women Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 16.6 4 24,069	2001	6.4 17.0 4 23,494	bjective and Perfor 2002 17 27.0 6	mance Date 2003 Final	16 35.7 8 22,380	2004	26. 80. 1
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 16.6 4 24,069	2001	6.4 17.0 4 23,494	bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Date 2003 Final	16 35.7 8 22,380	2004	26. 80. 1
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 aternal mortality ratio in Black women Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 16.6 4 24,069 2005	2001	6.4 17.0 4 23,494	bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Da 2003 Final	16 35.7 8 22,380	2004 2 Provisional	26. 80. 1
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 aternal mortality ratio in Black women Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 16.6 4 24,069 2005 25.7	2001	Annual O 6.4 17.0 4 23,494 Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2002 17 27.0 6 22,248 bjective and Perfor 2007 35	Final 2008	16 35.7 8 22,380 ta	2004 2 Provisional 2009	26 80 1 222,43
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 aternal mortality ratio in Black women Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 16.6 4 24,069 2005 Please fill in only the not required for future	2001	Annual O 6.4 17.0 4 23,494 Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2002	Final 2008	16 35.7 8 22,380 ta	2004 2 Provisional 2009	26 80

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3					
Percent of low birthweight births (<2500 grams) among live births		_			
	2000	<u>Annua</u> 2001	al Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Annual Performance Objec				8.1	2004 7.9
				8.2	8.3
	ator 7.9				
	ator10,706	· -		10,778	10,700
	ator 136,048	133,24	7 129,518	130,850	128,27
Is the Data Provisional or Fi	nal?			Final	Provisional
		Annus	al Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objec	ctive 7.8	8.	2 8.1	8	7.
Annual Indic					
	Diagram Cili in a sale.	the Objectives for th			
Numer	ator	the Objectives for the	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denomin	not required for f	uture year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	ator not required for fi	uture year data.	al Objective and Perfor		Annual Indicators 2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	not required for frator	Annua	al Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of preterm births (<37 weeks gestation) among live births Annual Performance Object	not required for frator 2000	Annua 2001 9.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 1 8.8	rmance Data 2003	2004
Percent of preterm births (<37 weeks gestation) among live births Annual Performance Object Annual Indic	not required for frator	Annua 2001 9.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 1 8.8 3 11.3	rmance Data 2003 11.2	2004 11
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of preterm births (<37 weeks gestation) among live births Annual Performance Object Annual Indic Numer	ator not required for frator 2000 ctive	Annua 2001 9. 11.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 1 8.8 3 11.3 7 14,625	mance Data 2003 11.2	2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of preterm births (<37 weeks gestation) among live births Annual Performance Object Annual Indic Numer	2000 ctive ator 10.9 ator 136,048	Annua 2001 9. 11.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 1 8.8 3 11.3 7 14,625	rmance Data 2003 11.2 11.2 14,651	2004 11. 10. 12,86
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of preterm births (<37 weeks gestation) among live births Annual Performance Object Annual Indict Numer Denomin	2000 ctive ator 10.9 ator 136,048	Annua 2001 9. 11.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 1 8.8 3 11.3 7 14,625	11.2 11.2 14,651 130,850	2004 11. 10. 12,86 128,27
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of preterm births (<37 weeks gestation) among live births Annual Performance Object Annual Indict Numer Denomin	2000 ctive	Annua 2001 9. 11. 15,01 133,24	al Objective and Perfor 2002 1 8.8 3 11.3 7 14,625 7 129,518 al Objective and Perfor	11.2 11.2 14,651 130,850 Final	2004 11. 10. 12,86 128,27 Provisional
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of preterm births (<37 weeks gestation) among live births Annual Performance Object Annual Indic Numer Denomin Is the Data Provisional or Fig.	2000 ctive ator 10.9 ator 136,048 ator 2005	Annua 2001 9. 11. 15,01 133,24 Annua 2006	al Objective and Perfor 2002 1 8.8 3 11.3 7 14,625 7 129,518 al Objective and Perfor 2007	11.2 11.2 14,651 130,850 Final	2004 11. 10. 12,86 128,27 Provisional
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of preterm births (<37 weeks gestation) among live births Annual Performance Object Annual Indict Numer Denomin Is the Data Provisional or Fin	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	Annua 2001 9. 11. 15,01 133,24 Annua 2006	al Objective and Perfor 2002 1 8.8 3 11.3 7 14,625 7 129,518 al Objective and Perfor	11.2 11.2 14,651 130,850 Final	2004 11. 10. 12,86 128,27 Provisional
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of preterm births (<37 weeks gestation) among live births Annual Performance Object Annual Indict Numer Denomin Is the Data Provisional or Fine	2000 ctive	Annua 2001 9. 11. 15,01 133,24 Annua 2006 1	al Objective and Perfor 2002 1 8.8 3 11.3 7 14,625 7 129,518 al Objective and Perfor 2007	rmance Data 2003 11.2 11.2 14,651 130,850 Final rmance Data 2008 10.9	2004 11. 10. 12,86 128,27 Provisional

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5								
Percent of live births resulting from unintended pregnancies.								
	2220	2004	Annual O	bjective and Perfor		!	2004	
Assess I Books as a Children	2000	2001	27.7	2002	2003	20.6	2004	39.2
Annual Performance Objective			37.7	40.1	-	39.6		
	37.5		40.6	43.1		41.2		39.3
Numerator	51,015		54,098	55,882	-	53,910	5	0,41
Denominator	136,048		133,247	129,518	1;	30,850	12	28,27
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisiona	ıl	Provisional	
			Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	ı		
	2005	2006	71	2007	2008	1	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	38.7		38.7	38.3		37.8		37.
Annual Indicator								
	Diamentill in and the	ne Objective	es for the a	bove years. Numerat	or, Denomin	ator and	Annual Indica	ators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objective ure year dat	es for the a	bove years. Numerat	or, Denomin	ator and a	Annual Indica	ators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objective ure year dat	es for the a	bove years. Numerat	or, Denomina	ator and a	Annual Indica	ators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objective ure year dat	ta.	bove years. Numerate bove years.			Annual Indica	ators
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of repeat live births to unwed mothers 15-19 years of age	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year dat	ta.	bjective and Perfor	mance Data			
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of repeat live births to unwed mothers 15-19 years of age Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year dat	ta. Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	1		17. 18.
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of repeat live births to unwed mothers 15-19 years of age Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future 2000	ure year dat	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2002 16.0	mance Data	16.2	2004	17. 18.
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of repeat live births to unwed mothers 15-19 years of age Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 19.7 2,395	2001	Annual O 16.6 16.4	2bjective and Perfor 2002 16.0 18.9	mance Data 2003	16.2	2004	17. 18. 1,96
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of repeat live births to unwed mothers 15-19 years of age Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 19.7 2,395 12,177	2001	Annual O 16.6 16.4 2,210	2002 16.0 18.9 2,019	mance Data 2003	16.2 17.6 1,887	2004	17. 18. 1,96 0,81
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of repeat live births to unwed mothers 15-19 years of age Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 19.7 2,395 12,177	2001	Annual O 16.6 16.4 2,210	2002 16.0 18.9 2,019	mance Data 2003	16.2 17.6 1,887	2004	17. 18. 1,96
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of repeat live births to unwed mothers 15-19 years of age Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 19.7 2,395 12,177	2001	Annual O 16.6 16.4 2,210 13,438	2002 16.0 18.9 2,019 10,670	mance Data 2003 Final	16.2 17.6 1,887 10,747	2004 1 Provisional	17. 18. 1,96
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of repeat live births to unwed mothers 15-19 years of age Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 19.7 2,395 12,177	2001	Annual O 16.6 16.4 2,210 13,438 Annual O	2002 16.0 18.9 2,019 10,670 2bjective and Performance 2007	mance Data 2003 Final	16.2 17.6 1,887 10,747	2004	17. 18. 1,96 0,81
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of repeat live births to unwed mothers 15-19 years of age Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 19.7 2,395 12,177 2005	2001	Annual O 16.6 16.4 2,210 13,438	2002 16.0 18.9 2,019 10,670	mance Data 2003 Final	16.2 17.6 1,887 10,747	2004 1 Provisional	17, 18, 1,96
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of repeat live births to unwed mothers 15-19 years of age Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 19.7 2,395 12,177 2005	2001 2006	Annual O 16.6 16.4 2,210 13,438 Annual O 16.9	2002 16.0 18.9 2,019 10,670 2bjective and Performance 2007	mance Data 2003 Final mance Data 2008	16.2 17.6 1,887 10,747	2004 1 Provisional 2009	17 18 1,96 0,81
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of repeat live births to unwed mothers 15-19 years of age Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 19.7 2,395 12,177 2005 Please fill in only the not required for future for future for future for future for future future for future future for future future for future future for future for future for future for future future for future future future for future	2001 2006	Annual O 16.6 16.4 2,210 13,438 Annual O 16.9	2002 16.0 18.9 2,019 10,670 2bjective and Perfort 2007	mance Data 2003 Final mance Data 2008	16.2 17.6 1,887 10,747	2004 1 Provisional 2009	17 18 1,9 0,8

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7								
ncrease the percent of CSHCS beneficiaries enrolled in a managed c	are Special Health F	Plan						
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor		<u>a</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			20.0	35.0		30	-	3
	7.1		13.2	28.0		30.0		22.
Numerator	2,045		4,270	5,664		6,293		5,32
Denominator	28,908		32,303	20,250		21,000		23,81
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provision	al	Final	
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor		<u>a</u>	2000	
A Destaurant of the first	2005	2006	0	2007	2008	0	2009	
Annual Performance Objective			0	0		0		
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in anhy th	ne Obiectiv	es for the a	bove vears. Numerat	tor. Denomi	nator and	Annual In	ndicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectiv ure year da	ves for the a	above years. Numerat	tor, Denomii	nator and	Annual In	ndicators
	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectiv ure year da	ves for the a ata.	above years. Numerat	tor, Denomii	nator and	Annual In	ndicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectiv ure year da	es for the a	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denomii	nator and	Annual In	ndicators
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectiv ure year da	ves for the a	bove years. Numeral	tor, Denomii	nator and	Annual In	ndicators
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectiv ure year da	ata.	bove years. Numeral			Annual In	ndicators
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectiv ure year da	ata.				Annual In	ndicators
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futured for futured for reimbursed)	ure year da	ata.	Objective and Perfor	mance Data			ndicators
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Increase the utilization of dental services by CSHCS beneficiaries (CS Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futured for futured for reimbursed)	2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	<u>a</u>		1
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ncrease the utilization of dental services by CSHCS beneficiaries (CS Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futured for futured for futured for reimbursed)	2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	a 16		1 16.
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ncrease the utilization of dental services by CSHCS beneficiaries (CS Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futures. SHCS reimbursed) 2000 14.9 4,320	2001	Annual C 20.0 14.0	2002 15	mance Data	a 16 15.2		1 16. 5,74
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ncrease the utilization of dental services by CSHCS beneficiaries (CS Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futures. SHCS reimbursed) 2000 14.9 4,320 28,908	2001	Annual C 20.0 14.0 4,508	2002 15 14.3 5,060	mance Data	16 15.2 5,164		
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ncrease the utilization of dental services by CSHCS beneficiaries (CS Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futures. SHCS reimbursed) 2000 14.9 4,320 28,908	2001	Annual C 20.0 14.0 4,508	2002 15 14.3 5,060	mance Data	16 15.2 5,164	2004	1 16. 5,74
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ncrease the utilization of dental services by CSHCS beneficiaries (CS Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futures. SHCS reimbursed) 2000 14.9 4,320 28,908	2001	Annual C 20.0 14.0 4,508 32,303	2002 15 14.3 5,060	mance Data 2003 Final	16 15.2 5,164 33,863	2004	1 16 5,74
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Increase the utilization of dental services by CSHCS beneficiaries (CS Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futures. SHCS reimbursed) 2000 14.9 4,320 28,908	2001	Annual C 20.0 14.0 4,508 32,303	2002 15 14.3 5,060 35,364	mance Data 2003 Final	16 15.2 5,164 33,863	2004	1 16 5,74
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ncrease the utilization of dental services by CSHCS beneficiaries (CS Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futured for futured for futured for reimbursed) 2000 14.9 4,320 28,908	2001	Annual C 20.0 14.0 4,508 32,303	2002 15 14.3 5,060 35,364 Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 Final	16 15.2 5,164 33,863	2004 Final	1 16 5,74
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ncrease the utilization of dental services by CSHCS beneficiaries (CS Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for futures. SHCS reimbursed) 2000 14.9 4,320 28,908	2001 2006	Annual C 20.0 14.0 4,508 32,303 Annual C	2002 15 14.3 5,060 35,364 20bjective and Perfor 2007	mance Date 2003 Final mance Date 2008	16 15.2 5,164 33,863	2004 Final	16. 5,74 34,45
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ncrease the utilization of dental services by CSHCS beneficiaries (CS Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	2001 2006	Annual C 20.0 14.0 4,508 32,303 Annual C	2002 15 14.3 5,060 35,364 Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Date 2003 Final mance Date 2008	16 15.2 5,164 33,863	2004 Final	5 34

Denominator

	who receive lead scr	5	Objective and Perfor	manco Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		4.3	4.3	25	2
Annual Indicator	17.9	19.9	17.7	18.8	47.
Numerator	52,946	61,914	58,574	65,078	167,83
Denominator	296,312	310,516	330,421	346,239	354,92
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
	60	70	80	85	90

The data source for unintended pregancy as for breastfeeding is PRAMS (Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System). The last weighted data available is from 2002. Based on the change in percentages from 2000 to 2002, we calculated the estimated numbers for the last two years. We will update this information as soon as we will receive the 2003 PRAMS weighted data from CDC.

We used as denominator the number of live births to Michigan's residents given the source of data.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

5. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

8. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State

value noted.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The data for 2002 is not available.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Neither actual or estimated immunization data is currently available for 2003. National Immunization Survey data is used and will become available later in the year.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data will not be available from CDC until August.

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data source for 2003 is the Oral Health Validation Survey, May-July, 2003

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data is based on the 2003 Validation Survey. The survey will not be repeated until next year.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The annual performance objectives were adjusted for the following years (2004-2008) based on the data reported for the prior years (including preliminary data for 2003).

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are based on imcomplete death files at this time

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

No data is available for 2001 and 2002.

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

For the 2000 calculation, the numerator was from PRAMS and thus the percent changed by using as the denominator the total number of live births (higher than PRAMS estimate). For 2001 calculation, the PRAMS estimated percent was considered and the numerator was calculated based on the number of total live births reported.

25. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data source for breastfeeding is PRAMS. The last weighted data available is from 2002. Based on the change in percentages from 2000 to 2002, we calculated the estimated numbers for the last two years (2003, 2004). We will update this information as soon as we receive the 2003 PRAMS weighted data from CDC.

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for 2002 is not available

27. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Michigan utilizes estimates of uninsured data developed by EBRI based on the Current Population Survey of March 2003. An annual update will be completed later in the year.

The annual performance objectives for 2003 and for the follwing years were changed based on the data reported for the prior years (1999-2002).

28. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The annual performance objectives for the following years (2004-2008)were re-calculated based on the data reported for the prior years (1999-2002).

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The annual performance objectives for the following years were changed/adjusted based on the data reported for the prior years (1999-2003).

Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

There is an increase of IMR in 2003 but the data is very preliminary. Therefore, given our goal to decrease it, the annual performance objectives for the following years (2004-2008) were re-calculated based on the final data reported for 1999-2002.

31. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The number of maternal deaths in Black women is too small to statistically calculate a rate or a ratio. The ratio generated by the system based on the number for the numerator would have a very large variation and can not be compared to the ratio in other years. Data for 2002 is not available at this time.

32. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The annual performance objectives for the following years were re-calculated based on the final data reported for 1999-2002 given that 2003 is very preliminary (both, the numerator and the denominator).

33. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The annual performance obejctives were re-calculated based on the final data reported for 1999-2002, given that 2003 data is very preliminary.

34. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The annual performance objectives were re-calculated based on the data reported for 1999-2003.

35. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

No data is available for the year 2001 and 2002.

36. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

In 2000 the numerator considered was from PRAMS and therefore the percent was lower when the total numer of live births was used as denominator.

The calculation for 2001 considered the percent estimated by

PRAMS and the numerator was calculated based on the total live births reported. The targets for 2002-2008 were changed based on the data reported for 1999-2001.

37. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The annual performance objectives for 2004-2008 were calculated based on the data reported for 1999-2003.

38. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The denominator for 2002 is changed to only those CSHCS-enrolled persons living in counties with an active SHP. The previous denominator included all CSHCS-enrolled persons, whether or not an SHP was available to them.

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: MI

			Annual C	Objective and Po	erformance	<u>Data</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	7.5		7.5		7.4	8.1		
Annual Indicator	8.2		8.0		3.1	8.5		7
Numerator	1,112		1,066	1,0	54	1,112		96
Denominator	136,048		133,247	129,5	18	130,850	1	28,2
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisiona	ıl
			Annual C	Objective and P	erformance	<u>Data</u>		
	2005	2006		0007	2008		2000	
	2005	2000		2007	2008		2009	
TCOME MEASURE # 02	7.9 Please fill in only to not required for fu	h a Obia ati	7.8 res for the a ata.		7.7	7.6 ominator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator	7.9 Please fill in only to not required for fu	h a Obia ati	ves for the a	above years. Nur	nerator, Den	ominator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02	7.9 Please fill in only to not required for fu	h a Obia ati	ves for the a		nerator, Den	ominator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only to not required for further rate.	he Objectiv ture year da	ves for the a	Objective and Po	nerator, Den	ominator and	Annual Indio	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	Please fill in only to not required for further rate.	he Objectiv ture year da	ves for the a ata.	Objective and Po	nerator, Den	ominator and	Annual Indio	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only to not required for further rate.	he Objectiv ture year da	ves for the a ata. Annual C	Objective and Po	erformance 2003	ominator and Data 2.4	Annual Indio	ators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	7.9 Please fill in only to not required for full rate. 2000 2.3 3.0 18.2	he Objectiv ture year da	Annual C	Objective and Po	2003 2.4 3.1	Data 2.4 2.6	Annual Indio	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only in not required for further rate. 2000 2.3 3.0 18.2 6.1	he Objectiv ture year da	Annual C 2.5 2.8 16.9	Objective and Po	erformance 2003 2.4 3.1	Data 2.4 2.6 17.5	Annual Indio	2 3 17
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only in not required for further rate. 2000 2.3 3.0 18.2 6.1	he Objectiv ture year da	Annual C 2.5 2.8 16.9 6.1	Objective and Po	erformance 2003 2.4 3.1 6 Final	Data 2.4 2.6 17.5 6.7	Annual India	2 3 17
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only in not required for further rate. 2000 2.3 3.0 18.2 6.1	he Objectiv ture year da	Annual C 2.5 2.8 16.9 6.1	Objective and Po	erformance 2003 2.4 3.1 6 Final	Data 2.4 2.6 17.5 6.7	Annual India	2 3 17

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03					
The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		4.5		4.5	5.0
Annual Indicator	5.7	5.5	5.6	5.9	5.3
Numerator	777	729	719	771	684
Denominator	136,048	133,247	129,518	130,850	128,27
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.
Annual Indicator					
	Diamentill in automate	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ure year data.	<u>, </u>		Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	2004
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001 2.5	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 2.5	2004
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001 2.5 2.5 337	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.5 2.6 335	2003 2.5	2004 2. 28
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2.5 2.5 335 136,048	Annual 2001 2.5 2.5 337	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.5 2.6 335	2003 2.5 2.6 341	
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.5 2.5 335 136,048	Annual 2001 2.5 2.5 337 133,247	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.5 2.6 335 129,518	2.5 2.6 341 130,850 Final	2004 2. 2. 28 128,27
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.5 2.5 335 136,048	Annual 2001 2.5 2.5 337 133,247	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.5 2.6 335 129,518 Objective and Perfor	2.5 2.6 341 130,850 Final	2004 2. 2. 28 128,27 Provisional
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2.5 2.5 335 136,048	Annual 2001 2.5 2.5 337 133,247 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.5 2.6 335 129,518 Objective and Perfor 2007	2003 2.5 2.6 341 130,850 Final Tmance Data 2008	2004 2. 28 128,27 Provisional
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	2000 2.5 2.5 335 136,048 2005	Annual 2001 2.5 2.5 337 133,247	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.5 2.6 335 129,518 Objective and Perfor 2007	2.5 2.6 341 130,850 Final	2004 2. 2. 28 128,27 Provisional
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.5 2.5 335 136,048	Annual 2001 2.5 2.5 337 133,247 Annual 2006 2.4	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.5 2.6 335 129,518 Objective and Perfor 2007	2.5 2.6 341 130,850 Final Tmance Data 2008 2.3	2004 2. 28 128,27 Provisional 2009

Denominator

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05					
he perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	9	8.8	8.7	8.6	9.8
Annual Indicator	10.5	10.3	10.1	7.0	6.
Numerator	1,440	1,374	1,318	916	850
Denominator	136,835	134,033	130,266	131,144	128,57
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	9.8	9.7	9.6	9.6	
Annual Indicator					•
	Please fill in only th	on Objectives for the	shove veers Numero	tar Danaminator and	A I I . P
			above vears, mulliera	tor. Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
OUTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera	ior, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
OUTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ure year data. Annual (Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	not required for future	ure year data. Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective	not required for future 2000 25	Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	2004
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective	not required for future	Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future 2000 25	Annual (2001 24.7 21.2	Objective and Perfor 2002 24.7	mance Data 2003 24.5	2004 19. 18.
PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 25 21.6	Annual (2001 24.7 21.2 432	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 24.7 21.2	2003 24.5 21.1	2004 19. 18. 36
PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 25 21.6 439 2,033,010	Annual (2001 24.7 21.2 432	2002 24.7 21.2 431	2003 24.5 21.1 417	2004 19 18.9 36-
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25 21.6 439 2,033,010	Annual (2001 24.7 21.2 432 2,033,010	2002 24.7 21.2 431 2,033,010	2003 24.5 21.1 417 1,972,042 Final	2004 19.4 18.3 36- 1,972,042
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25 21.6 439 2,033,010	Annual (2001 24.7 21.2 432 2,033,010	2002 24.7 21.2 431	2003 24.5 21.1 417 1,972,042 Final	2004 19.4 18.3 36- 1,972,042
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25 21.6 439 2,033,010	Annual (2001 24.7 21.2 432 2,033,010 Annual (2002 24.7 21.2 431 2,033,010 Objective and Perfor	2003 24.5 21.1 417 1,972,042 Final	2004 19.4 18.5 36.4 1,972,045 Provisional
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 25 21.6 439 2,033,010 2005	Annual C 2001 24.7 21.2 432 2,033,010 Annual C 2006	2002 24.7 21.2 431 2,033,010 2bjective and Performance 2007 17.5	24.5 21.1 417 1,972,042 Final Tmance Data 2008 16.9	2004 19. 18. 36. 1,972,04: Provisional 2009
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 25 21.6 439 2,033,010 2005	Annual (2001 24.7 21.2 432 2,033,010 Annual (2006 18.1	2002 24.7 21.2 431 2,033,010 2bjective and Performance 2007 17.5	2003 24.5 21.1 417 1,972,042 Final Tmance Data 2008	2004 19 18 36 1,972,04 Provisional 2009

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

There is an increase of IMR in 2003 but the data is very preliminary. Therefore, given our goal to decrease it, the annual performance objectives for the following years (2004-2008) were re-calculated based on the final data reported for 1999-2002.

2. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The annual performance objectives were changed based on the data reported for 1999-2003.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 3 Field Name: OM03

Field Name: OM Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The annual performance objectives were changed based on the data reported for the prior years (1999-2002).

2003 data is very preliminary yet.

4. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The annual performance objectives were changed based on the data reported for 1999-2002.

2003 data is considered preliminary.

5. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The annual performance objectives were changed based on the data reported for 1999-2003.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 6
 Field Name: OM06

Field Name: OM0 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The annual performance objectives were changed based on the data reported for 1999-2003.

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: MI 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 3. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 3. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 3. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 1. Total Score: 1. Family Met 1. Family Met 2. Mostly Met 3. Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: MI FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Establish a system to better identify, screen and refer for maternal depression.
- 2. Increase the rate and duration of breastfeeding.
- 3. Reduce the percentage of unintended and teen pregnancies.
- 4. Reduce the percentage of preterm births and births with low birth weight.
- 5. Establish a medical home and increase care coordination for children with special health care needs.
- 6. Increase the number of CSHCS enrolled youth who have appropriate adult health care providers.
- 7. Reduce the proportion of children and adolescents who are obese.
- 8. Reduce the incidence of teen suicide.
- 9. Increase the testing rate of low-income children for lead poisoning.
- 10. Reduce the racial disparity between black and white infant mortality rate and between Native American and white infant mortality rate.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 14
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: MI APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested			What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known)
1.	National Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:03	Reimbursement for medical home services	One of the major impediments to implementing the medical home concept is the determination of adequate reimbursement to physicians for the cost of medical home services	(max 250 characters) Catalyst Center - Debbie Allen
2.	Data-related Issues - Performance Indicators If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	None	None	None
3.	Other If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Training on Cultural Competence	Need to assess the effectiveness of our programs in reaching populations with different language, custom characteristics	National Center for Cultural Competence
4.	Other If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	None	None	None
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this			

issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: MI

SP # 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Infant mortality rate of live births

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the number of infant deaths.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of deaths to infants from birth through 364 days of age

Denominator: Number of live births Units: 1000 Text: rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Division for Vital records and Health Statistics, MDCH INFANT MORTALITY RATE (1/1,000 LIVE BIRTHS) 1996 1997

1998 1999 2000 Infant mortality rate 8.0 8.1 8.2 8.0 8.2 (1/1,000) Non-Hispanic White 5.9 6.0 6.2 5.8 5.9 Non-Hispanic

Black 17.4 17.5 16.7 17.9 18.2

SIGNIFICANCE Baseline: 8.2 infant deaths per thousand of live births in Michigan, 2000. Higher than national average and 80% higher

than the Healthy People 2010 objective. A significant racial disparity exists.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Maternal mortality ratio in Black women

STATUS:

GOAL To reduce the maternal mortality ratio in Black women

DEFINITION

Number of deaths to Black women while pregnant or within 42 days of termination from a cause related or aggravated by

the pregnancy or its management, but not from accidental or incidental causes.

Denominator:

Number of live births to Black women

Units: 100000 Text: ratio

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Division for Vital Records and Health Statistics, MDCH MATERNAL MORTALITY RATIO (100,000 LIVE BIRTHS) 1996

1997 1998 1999 2000 Mortality ratio 3.9 9.3 6.2 8.2 6.6 (1/100,000) Number by race White* 2 6 2 8 5 Black* 3 6 5 3 4

SIGNIFICANCE

Baseline: 6.6 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births in Michigan, 2000. A significant ratical disparity exist with maternal deaths. The number of maternal deaths in Black women contributed a big portion of the overall maternal mortality ratio in

Michigan.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of low birthweight births (<2500 grams) among live births.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the number of live births with low birthweight

DEFINITION

Numerator: Number of live births with birthweight less than 2500 grams

Denominator: Number of live births

Units: 100 Text: percentage

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Division for Vital Records and Health Statistics, MDCH PERCENT OF LIVE BIRTHS WITH LOW BIRTH WEIGHT (LESS THAN 2500 GRAMS) 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 N % N % N % N % N % Total 10228 7.7 10335 7.8 10468 7.9 10703 8.0 10706 7.9 Non-Hispanic White 6384 6.4 6439 6.6 6424 6.5 6393 6.5 6385 6.4 Non-Hispanic Black 3270 13.6 3194 13.3 3336 13.9 3495 14.7 3508 14.6 American Indian 43 6.1 44 6.0 47 6.8 45 6.7 38 6.0 Asian/Pacific Islander 148 6.1 214 7.7 328 6.4 445 6.7 434 6.2 434 6.7 434 6.7 Hispanic 2076 1.366 6.7 380 6.4 446 6.7 434 6.7 Hispanic 2076 1.366 6.7 380 6.4 445 6.7 434 6.7 Hispanic 2076 1.366 6.7 380 6.4 445 6.7 434 6.7 Hispanic 2076 1.366 6.7 380 6.4 445 6.7 434 6.7 Hispanic 2076 1.366 6.7 380 6.4 445 6.7 434 6.7 Hispanic 2076 1.366 6.7 380 6.7

231 7.7 280 8.4 244 6.7 Hispanic 307 6.1 365 6.7 389 6.5 415 6.7 431 6.2

SIGNIFICANCE Baseline: 7.9 percent of live births weight (less than 2,500 grams) in Michigan, 2000. The percent of live births with low

birthweight for Non-Hispanic Black live birhs is twice that for Non-Hispanic White live births and all other racial groups.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of preterm births (<37 weeks gestation) among live births

STATUS: Active

To reduce the percentage of preterm births (less than 37 weeks of gestational age) GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of preterm infants with less than 37 weeks of gestational age

Denominator:

Number of live infants

Units: 100 Text: percentage

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Division for Vital Records and Health Statistics Michigan Department of community Health PERCENT OF PRETERM INFANTS (LESS THAN 37 WEEKS OF GESTATIONAL AGE) 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 N % N % N % N % N % N % Total 13778 10.3 14319 10.7 14646 11.0 14368 10.8 14833 10.9 Non-Hispanic White 8919 8.9 9277 9.3 9561 9.6 9326 9.5 9579 9.6 Non-Hispanic Black 3985 16.6 4019 16.6 4033 16.7 3985 16.8 4023 16.8 American Indian 77 9.9 85 11.7 84 12.1 68 10.1 72 11.4 Asian/Pacific Islander 219 9.1 264 9.5 299 10.0 297 8.9 342 9.4 Hispanic 505 10.0 597 11.0 636 10.7 627 10.1

722 10.4

SIGNIFICANCE Baseline: 10.9 percent of pre-term births among all live births in Michigan 2000. The current percent of preterm births is

about 45% higher than the Healthy People 2010 objective. The percent of pre-term infants for Non-Hispanic Black is 1.7

times that for Non-Hispanic White, and much higher than other racial groups.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of live births resulting from unintended pregnancies.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the percent of live births resulting from unintended pregnancies

DEFINITION Number of live births resulting from unintended pregnancies divided by total number of live births multiplied by 100.

Numerator: Number of live births which result from unintended pregnancies

Denominator: Number of live births Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) Michigan Department of Community Health PERCENT OF LIVE BIRTHS RESULTED FROM UNINTENDED PREGNANCIES 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 Unintended pregnancies 43.1% 43.2% 41.8% 39.8% 40.2% RACE Black 71.0% 69.0% 68.2% 67.9% 64.0% Non-Black 37.0% 38.4% 37.0% 35.0% 35.8% $\mathsf{AGE} < 20\ 84.3\%\ 81.3\%\ 73.7\%\ 86.1\%\ 70.7\%\ 20\ -\ 29\ 42.6\%\ 44.9\%\ 46.0\%\ 41.0\%\ 42.2\%\ 30\ +\ 28.1\%\ 27.2\%\ 22.6\%\ 24.8\%$

SIGNIFICANCE Baseline:40.2 percent of live births resulting from unintended pregnancies in Michigan, 2000. Significant disparities between

racial and age groups.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of repeat live births to unwed mothers 15-19 years of age

STATUS: Active

To reduce the percent of repeat live births to unwed mothers 15-19 years of age GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator: Number of repeat live births to unwed mothers 15 through 19 years old

Denominator:

Number of live infants to unwed mothers 15 through 19 years old

Units: 100 Text: percentage

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

15.7 32 29.9 21 20.4 Asian/Pacific Islander 9 13.6 9 14.1 11 13.3 16 19.0 15 18.3 Hispanic 166 20.1 168 22.5 199 24.2 181

21.8 182 21.8

SIGNIFICANCE Baseline: 19.7 percent of live births to unwed teen mothers were repeat births in Michigan, 2000. The percent of repeat live

births to unwed Non-Hispanic Black mothers is much higher than other racial groups.

SP#_____7

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Increase the percent of CSHCS beneficiaries enrolled in a managed care Special Health Plan

STATUS: Active

GOAL To increase the percent of CSHCS beneficiaries enrolled in a managed care Special Health Plan

DEFINITIONNumber of CSHCS beneficiaries enrolled in a Special Health Plan divided by the total number of CSHCS-enrolled

beneficiaries living in counties with active SHPs times 100.

Numerator

Number of CSHCS beneficiaries enrolled in a Special Health Plan

Denominator:

Total number of CSHCS-enrolled beneficiaries living in counties with active SHPs

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES CSHCS Division Michigan Department of Community Health

SIGNIFICANCE Enrollment into SHPs promotes establishing a medical home for CSHCS beneficiaries and increases care coordination

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Increase the utilization of dental services by CSHCS beneficiaries (CSHCS reimbursed)

STATUS: Active

GOAL To increase the utilization of dental services by CSHCS beneficiaries (CSHCS reimbursed)

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of CSHCS beneficiaries who received dental services reimbursed by CSHCS

Denominator:

Total number of CSHCS beneficiaries Units: 100 Text: percentage

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Increase the percent of Medicaid enrolled children, 0-6 years of age, who receive lead screening

STATUS: Active

GOAL To increase the percent of Medicaid enrolled children 0-6 years of age who receive lead screening

DEFINITION

Numerator: Number of Medicaid enrolled children 0-6 years of age who receive lead screening

Denominator:

Total number of Medicaid enrolled children 0-6 years of age

Units: 100 Text: percentage

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Divsion of Family and Community Health Michigan Department of Community Health

SIGNIFICANCE In 2001, there were 52,946 (17.9%) among 296,312 Medicaid enrolled children 0 to 5 years of age received lead screening.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: MI

HEALTH SYSTEMS C	APACITY	MEASURE # 0	11
------------------	---------	-------------	----

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

		Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Annual Indicator	48.1	49.4	45.4	NaN				
Numerator	3,230	3,319	3,010	0				
Denominator	672,005	672,005	663,586	0				
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional				

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data							
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Annual Indicator	72.0	72.9	79.4	79.7	79.7			
Numerator	40,507	43,554	47,957	49,578	49,578			
Denominator	56,238	59,718	60,392	62,203	62,203			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional			

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data							
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Annual Indicator			NaN	NaN	79.2			
Numerator			0	0	486			
Denominator			0	0	614			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final			

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data							
2	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Annual Indicator	74.2	77.2	77.5	77.5	75.1			
Numerator _	100,954	102,888	100,408	101,456	96,278			
Denominator	136,048	133,247	129,518	130,850	128,271			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional			

			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	31.9	40.5	42.6	44.2	44.5
Numerator	57,207	73,264	79,193	84,001	84,595
Denominator	179,241	181,106	185,858	190,029	190,029
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	21.4	24.1	25.6	23.7	24.3
Numerator	6,247	6,961	7,517	7,293	7,613
Denominator	29,180	28,850	29,340	30,808	31,336
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for 2002 is not available

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 data is being compiled and will not be available until August.

 Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01 Field Name: HSC01 Row Name:

Field Name: HSC Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data will not be available until later this year.

 Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03 Field Name: HSC03

Field Name: HS0 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Encounter data is being collected for the first time in 2003. Data for 2002 and earlier is not available

 Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03 Field Name: HSC03

Field Name: HSC Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Unexpected problems have resulted in MI Child service data not yet available in Michigan.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: MI

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	V=15		POPULATION					
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL			
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	9.5	7.5	8.2			
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	10.5	7.2	8.5			
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	73.7	89.7	84.1			
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	70.8	81.4	77.5			

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: MI				
INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)		
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	185_		
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 19) (Age range to) (Age range to)	2004	150		
c) Pregnant Women	2004	185		

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: MI					
INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP			
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	200			
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 19) (Age range to) (Age range to)	2004				
c) Pregnant Women	2004	200			

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: MI

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	3	Yes
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	Yes
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes
+1 A //		

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
 2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
 3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: MI

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)				
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes				
Other:						

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	Yes
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other:		

*Where:

- 1 = No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is not large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: MI

		UIAIL							
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A									
The percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator	<u>Data</u>			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	r	7.9		8.0	8.0		8.2		8.7
Numerator	r	10,706		10,714	10,403		10,778		11,150
Denominator	r	136,048		133,247	129,518		130,850		128,271
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Provisio	nal
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B									
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator	<u>Data</u>			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator		6.2			6.2		6.4		6.4
Numerator	r	8,184			7,727		8,014		7,882
Denominator	r	131,607			124,941		126,038		123,575
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?					Final		Provisio	nal
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					Annual Indicator I	Data			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	r	1.6		1.7	1.6		1.7		1.6
Numerator	r	2,133		2,222	2,103		2,235		2,111
Denominato	r	136,048		133,247	129,518		130,850		128,271
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?					Final		Provisio	nal
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B									
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator	<u>Data</u>			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	r	1.2			1.2		1.3		1.2
Numerator	r	1,568			1,535		1,659		1,453
Denominator	r	131,607			124,941		126,038		123,575

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	11.1		10.5	10.9	8.7
Numerator	240		228	228	182
	2,164,198		2,164,198	2,098,595	2,098,595
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
e death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children a		· ·	Annual Indicator Da		0004
Annual Indicator	2000 4.7	2001 3.5	2002 3.7	2003 4.6	2004 3.
	102		79	96	6
	2,164,198	2,164,198	2,164,198	2,098,595	2,098,59
20110111111111					
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C	ehicle crashes amor	ng youth aged 15 thro	Annual Indicator Da		2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C	ehicle crashes amor	2001	Annual Indicator De 2002	ata 2003 22.5	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi	2000 24.7	2001	Annual Indicator Day 2002 24.0 327	ata 2003 22.5 322	2004 20.:
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 24.7 337 1,363,706	2001	Annual Indicator De 2002	ata 2003 22.5 322 1,432,436	2004 20.3 29: 1,432,436
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi	2000 24.7 337 1,363,706	2001	Annual Indicator Day 2002 24.0 327	ata 2003 22.5 322	2004 20
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor versional indicator Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 24.7 337 1,363,706	2001	Annual Indicator Day 2002 24.0 327	ata 2003 22.5 322 1,432,436 Final	2004 20. 29 1,432,43
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 24.7 337 1,363,706	2001	Annual Indicator Day 2002 24.0 327 1,363,706	ata 2003 22.5 322 1,432,436 Final	2004 20 29. 1,432,43
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 24.7 337 1,363,706 rears and younger.	2001	Annual Indicator Day 2002 24.0 327 1,363,706 Annual Indicator Day	ata 2003 22.5 322 1,432,436 Final	2004 20.: 29: 1,432,430 Provisional
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C he death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04A he rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 y	2000 24.7 337 1,363,706 rears and younger. 2000 213.8	2001	Annual Indicator Day 2002 24.0 327 1,363,706 Annual Indicator Day 2002	ata 2003 22.5 322 1,432,436 Final	2004 20. 29 1,432,43 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Provisional

	0	· -	nd younger.		
			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	or <u>36.7</u>		28.7	28.7	28.7
	or 795		608	602	600
Denominato	or 2,164,198		2,121,138	2,098,595	2,088,878
Is the Data Provisional or Final	?			Provisional	Provisional
ALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashe	s among youth aged	រ 15 through 24	years.		
			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	or 138.7	· ·	129.7	129.7	129.7
	or 1,891	· ·	1,838	1,858	1,869
Denominato	or 1,363,706		1,417,116	1,432,436	1,441,132
Is the Data Provisional or Final	?			Provisional	Provisional
ALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A					
rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported	d case of chlamydia.				
			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicato	or 22.8		26.8	27.7	33.8
Numerato	or 7,992		9,425	9,821	11,984
Denominato	or <u>351,139</u>		352,136	354,931	354,931

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Estimate based on hospitalizations for injuries

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Estimates based on 2002 data for hospitalizations for injuries

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Estimates based on 2002 data for hospitalizations for injuries

4. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Estimates based on hospitalizations for injuries

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Estimates based on 2002 datafor hospitalizations for injuries

6. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Estimates based on 2002 datafor hospitalizations for injuries

7. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Estimate based on hospitalizations for injuries

8. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Estimates based on 2002 data for hospitalizations for injuries

9. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Estimates based on 2002 data for hospitalizations for injuries

FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

STATE: MI

HSI #06A - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	128,829	100,487	24,349	515	3,478			
Children 1 through 4	521,011	403,784	98,992	3,126	15,109			
Children 5 through 9	679,567	525,151	130,608	6,122	17,686			
Children 10 through 14	758,788	583,508	152,516	6,829	15,935			
Children 15 through 19	734,898	589,978	122,115	6,621	16,184			
Children 20 through 24	705,497	572,159	107,941	6,349	19,048			
Children 0 through 24	3,528,590	2,775,067	636,521	29,562	87,440	0	0	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	121,228	7,601	
Children 1 through 4	490,271	30,740	
Children 5 through 9	642,153	37,414	
Children 10 through 14	724,643	34,145	
Children 15 through 19	704,001	30,897	
Children 20 through 24	670,222	35,275	
Children 0 through 24	3,352,518	176,072	0

FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS** DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

STATE: MI

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	210	68	139	0	1	0	0	2
Women 15 through 17	3,813	2,186	1,531	23	30	2	0	41
Women 18 through 19	8,345	5,589	2,521	84	80	6	0	65
Women 20 through 34	98,793	77,532	16,197	539	3,483	268	0	774
Women 35 or older	17,098	14,078	2,049	55	692	78	0	146
Women of all ages	128,259	99,453	22,437	701	4,286	354	0	1,028

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	185	23	2
Women 15 through 17	3,320	394	99
Women 18 through 19	7,417	704	224
Women 20 through 34	89,877	5,927	2,989
Women 35 or older	15,925	715	458
Women of all ages	116,724	7,763	3,772

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MI

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	969	515	385	4	6	3	0	56
Children 1 through 4	130	82	40	0	2	0	0	6
Children 5 through 9	100	63	35	0	1	0	0	1
Children 10 through 14	134	89	38	2	0	0	0	5
Children 15 through 19	459	339	116	1	0	0	0	3
Children 20 through 24	569	373	168	7	4	1		16
Children 0 through 24	2,361	1,461	782	14	13	4	0	87

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	899	52	18
Children 1 through 4	122	7	1
Children 5 through 9	96	2	2
Children 10 through 14	131	2	1
Children 15 through 19	443	13	3
Children 20 through 24	545	18	6
Children 0 through 24	2,236	94	31

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MI

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	2,823,093	2,202,908.0	528,580.0	23,213.0	68,392.0				2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	31.8	24.3	69.2	52.4	9.8		42.9	30.8	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	5.1	32.1	66.4	0.4	0.8			1.1	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	948,873	559,864.0	356,533.0	5,714.0				26,762.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	56,643	38,940.0	7,228.0	491.0				9,984.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	18,974	8,453.0	9,552.0	194.0	42.0	45.0	633.0	55.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	477,073	238,642.0	230,198.0	2,550.0	5,211.0			472.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	222,370	128,307.0	64,710.0	1,557.0	3,113.0			24,683.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	4,216.2	4,066.1	4,754.3	1,491.0	725.4				2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	4.1							4.1	2004

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	2,682,296.0	141,227.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent			31.8	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	94.6	5.4		2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	888,043.0			2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP			56,643.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	18,154.0	820.0		2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	447,006.0	30,067.0		2004
Number enrolled in WIC	197,687.0	24,683.0		2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	4,410.5	576.6		2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)			4.1	2004

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MI

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

Reporting Year: 2004	Is this data from a State Projection? No	Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL	
Living in metropolitan areas	2,392,951	
Living in urban areas	2,147,856	
Living in rural areas	736,209	
Living in frontier areas	0	
Total - all children 0 through 19	2,884,065	

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MI

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL	
Total Population	9,893,000.0	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	5.4	
100% of poverty	11.4	
200% of poverty	28.9	

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MI

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	2,765,000.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	7.2
100% of poverty	<u>14.6</u>
200% of poverty	35.6

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_Children Row Name: All children 0 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

2004 data is estimated based on 2003 population ratios

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Estimate based on 2003 data

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_WICNo
Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

2004 data is not yet available. Data reported is from 2003

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data is based on 2003 reports

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Based on 2003 data

Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity_Children
Row Name: All children 0 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006

Field Note: 2004 Data is estimated based on ratios from 2003 population

Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_total Row Name: Total Population

Column Name: Year: 2006

Estimate based on Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement, 2004

Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_Children

Row Name: Children 0 through 19 years old

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Estimate based on Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement, 2004

NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: MI

SP # ____1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Medicaid-enrolled women who are screened for maternal depression

GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of Medicaid-enrolled women screened for maternal depression

Denominator:

Number of Medicaid-enrolled women

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Medicaid database

SIGNIFICANCE

OBJECTIVE

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
20	25	30	35	40

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of low birthweight births (<2500 grams) among live births.

GOAL To reduce the number of live births with low birthweight

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of live births with birthweight less than 2500 grams

Denominator: Number of live births

Units: 100 Text: percentage

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Division for Vital Records and Health Statistics, MDCH PERCENT OF LIVE BIRTHS WITH LOW BIRTH WEIGHT (LESS THAN 2500 GRAMS) 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 N % N % N % N % N % N % Total 10228 7.7 10335 7.8 10468 7.9 10703 8.0 10706 7.9 Non-Hispanic White 6384 6.4 6439 6.6 6424 6.5 6393 6.5 6385 6.4 Non-Hispanic Black 3270 13.6 3194 13.3 3336 13.9 3495 14.7 3508 14.6 American Indian 43 6.1 44 6.0 47 6.8 45 6.7 38 6.0 Asian/Pacific Islander 148 6.1 214 7.7 304 7.4 36 9.4 44 6.7 44 6.7 44 6.8 45 6.7 38 6.0 Asian/Pacific Islander 148 6.1 214 7.7

231 7.7 280 8.4 244 6.7 Hispanic 307 6.1 365 6.7 389 6.5 415 6.7 431 6.2

Baseline: 7.9 percent of live births weight (less than 2,500 grams) in Michigan, 2000. The percent of live births with low **SIGNIFICANCE**

birthweight for Non-Hispanic Black live birns is twice that for Non-Hispanic White live births and all other racial groups.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 8.2 8.1 7.9 7.8 8

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of preterm births (<37 weeks gestation) among live births

GOAL To reduce the percentage of preterm births (less than 37 weeks of gestational age)

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of preterm infants with less than 37 weeks of gestational age

Denominator:

Number of live infants

Units: 100 Text: percentage

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Division for Vital Records and Health Statistics Michigan Department of community Health PERCENT OF PRETERM

INFANTS (LESS THAN 37 WEEKS OF GESTATIONAL AGE) 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 N % N % N % N % N % N % N % Total 13778 10.3 14319 10.7 14646 11.0 14368 10.8 14833 10.9 Non-Hispanic White 8919 8.9 9277 9.3 9561 9.6 9326 9.5 9579 9.6 Non-Hispanic Black 3985 16.6 4019 16.6 4033 16.7 3985 16.8 4023 16.8 American Indian 77 9.9 85 11.7 84 12.1 68 10.1 72 11.4 Asian/Pacific Islander 219 9.1 264 9.5 299 10.0 297 8.9 342 9.4 Hispanic 505 10.0 597 11.0 636 10.7 627 10.1

722 10.4

SIGNIFICANCE Baseline: 10.9 percent of pre-term births among all live births in Michigan 2000. The current percent of preterm births is

about 45% higher than the Healthy People 2010 objective. The percent of pre-term infants for Non-Hispanic Black is 1.7

times that for Non-Hispanic White, and much higher than other racial groups.

 OBJECTIVE
 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |

 11
 11
 10.9 | 10.9 | 10.8 |

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of live births resulting from unintended pregnancies.

To reduce the percent of live births resulting from unintended pregnancies GOAL

DEFINITION Number of live births resulting from unintended pregnancies divided by total number of live births multiplied by 100.

Number of live births which result from unintended pregnancies

Denominator: Number of live births Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) Michigan Department of Community Health PERCENT OF LIVE BIRTHS RESULTED FROM UNINTENDED PREGNANCIES 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 Unintended pregnancies 43.1% 43.2% 41.8% 39.8% 40.2% RACE Black 71.0% 69.0% 68.2% 67.9% 64.0% Non-Black 37.0% 38.4% 37.0% 35.0% 35.8% $\mathsf{AGE} < 20\ 84.3\%\ 81.3\%\ 73.7\%\ 86.1\%\ 70.7\%\ 20\ -\ 29\ 42.6\%\ 44.9\%\ 46.0\%\ 41.0\%\ 42.2\%\ 30\ +\ 28.1\%\ 27.2\%\ 22.6\%\ 24.8\%$

SIGNIFICANCE Baseline:40.2 percent of live births resulting from unintended pregnancies in Michigan, 2000. Significant disparities between

racial and age groups.

SP#____5

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Increase the percent of Medicaid enrolled children, 0-6 years of age, who receive lead screening

GOAL To increase the percent of Medicaid enrolled children 0-6 years of age who receive lead screening

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of Medicaid enrolled children 0-6 years of age who receive lead screening

Denominator:

Total number of Medicaid enrolled children 0-6 years of age

Units: 100 Text: percentage

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Divsion of Family and Community Health Michigan Department of Community Health

SIGNIFICANCE OBJECTIVE In 2001, there were 52,946 (17.9%) among 296,312 Medicaid enrolled children 0 to 5 years of age received lead screening.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Maternal mortality ratio in Black women

GOAL To reduce the maternal mortality ratio in Black women

DEFINITION

SIGNIFICANCE

Number of deaths to Black women while pregnant or within 42 days of termination from a cause related or aggravated by the pregnancy or its management, but not from accidental or incidental causes.

Denominator:

Numerator:

Number of live births to Black women

Units: 100000 Text: ratio

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Division for Vital Records and Health Statistics, MDCH MATERNAL MORTALITY RATIO (100,000 LIVE BIRTHS) 1996 **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

1997 1998 1999 2000 Mortality ratio 3.9 9.3 6.2 8.2 6.6 (1/100,000) Number by race White* 2 6 2 8 5 Black* 3 6 5 3 4

Baseline: 6.6 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births in Michigan, 2000. A significant ratical disparity exist with maternal deaths. The number of maternal deaths in Black women contributed a big portion of the overall maternal mortality ratio in

Michigan.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 36 35 35 34 34

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Rate of breastfeeding at six months

GOAL The increase the duration of breastfeeding to at least six months

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The number of mothers in the WIC program who continue to breastfeed their infants at six months of age.

Denominator:

The number of mothers enrolled in WIC

Units: 100 Text: Percent

Objective 16-19b **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE**

Increase the proportion of mothers who breastfeed their babies at 6 months

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

WIC data

The advantages of breastfeeding are indisputable and include nutritional, immunological and psychological benefits to both infant and mother

OBJECTIVE

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 30 35 40 45

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of WIC-enrolled children who are overweight

GOAL Reduce the percent of WIC-enrolled children who are overweight

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of infants and children enrolled in WIC who are overweight (>=95th percentile)

Denominator:

Number of infants and children enrolled in WIC

Units: 100 Text: Percent

19-3 **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE**

Reduce the proportion of children and adolescents who are overweight or obese

WIC program data WIC is the only source of routine data on the weight status of children; no population-based data is **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

Official statewide data for younger Michigan children are not available but, in the samples collected in recent years and in high school students studied in the Youth Risk Behavior Survey, Michigan children are similar to children across the nation. SIGNIFICANCE

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 12.5 12.3 12.1 12 11.8

SO # 1

OUTCOME MEASURE: Ratio of Native American infant mortality to the white infant mortality rate

GOALTo reduce the disparity between the Native American infant morality rate and the white infant mortality rate

DEFINITION

Numerator:

average rate of Native American infant mortality for three previous years

Denominator:

average rate of white infant mortality for three previous years

Units: 1 Text: Ratio

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

HP Objective 16-1 Reduce fetal and infant deaths

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Division of Vital Records and Health Statistics, matched birth and death files

SIGNIFICANCE OBJECTIVE The gap between Native American and white infant mortality has increased from 37% in 1999 to 90% in 2003

				2010
1.8	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6